	[]
1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
2	COUNTY OF MONROE
3	xx Indictment No. : 2019-0482
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
5	vs. : CPW 2ND (2) : ASSAULT 2ND (2)
6	TREIQUAN HAWKINS,
7	Defendant. : xx GUN/HEARING
8	
9	Hall of Justice Rochester, New York
10	November 21, 2019
11	
12	Presiding: HONORABLE THOMAS E. MORAN
14	Supreme Court Justice
15	Appearances:
16	SANDRA DOORLEY, ESQ.
17	District Attorney, Monroe County By: ROBIN E. CATALANO, ESQ.
18	Assistant District Attorney Appearing on behalf of the People
19	PAUL A. GUERRIERI, ESQ. and
20	MELISSA L. WELLS-SPICER, ESQ. Appearing on behalf of the Defendant
21	Defendant present
22	7*
23	
24	Reported By: JUDITH A. WARNER, C.S.R. Official Court Reporter
25	•

INDEX TO WITNESSES

<u>Direct Cross Redirect Recross</u>

For the People:

Off. Michael Mortillaro 7 18

For the Defendant:

(None)

MS. CATALANO: Sir, you are Treiquan Hawkins?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

MS. CATALANO: You appear here today with your attorney, Mr. Guerrieri, assisted by Ms. Wells-Spicer?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MS. CATALANO: Robin Catalano for the People.

Good morning.

This matter appearing on your calendar for probable cause hearing this morning. The People are ready to proceed.

I have provided Rosario to defense counsel in the form of grand jury testimony of Mr. Mortillaro. I have also provided defense counsel with a copy of medical records for injuries sustained by both Officers Mortillaro and Lindauer as per the Court's request.

I would also place on the record Mr.

Guerrieri raised this morning some question regarding whether he has received all the body-worn camera relative to this particular incident. I have turned over a disk to him containing what I believe to be all the body-worn camera relative for this CR. I have also asked Mr. Sunderland to return to the office and verify with our technicians it is all the body-worn camera under that CR and will share that information with

Court and counsel as soon as I hear from Mr. Sunderland in that regard.

THE COURT: Mr. Guerrieri?

MR. GUERRIERI: Acknowledge receipt of the grand jury minutes with respect to Officer Michael Mortillaro. Acknowledge receipt of medical records in relation to Officer Jeremy Lindauer. And, with respect to some of the other discovery, Subject Resistance Reports created by Officer Mortillaro and Mr. Lindauer with respect to the incident in question, I would request those as well because they would be considered Rosario.

MS. CATALANO: Mr. Guerrieri had requested of the Court at the bench when we spoke briefly before this matter was called that there be a continuation, if necessary, after he has had an opportunity to review the additional materials. I would place on the record that I provided the SRRs to Mr. Guerrieri so he may review those and utilize those in continuation of that matter. I do not have SRRs today.

THE COURT: For my own information, how come?
When RPD prepares a grand jury package, aren't all the reports in there?

MS. CATALANO: No, your Honor. The SRRs are never included in the grand jury package. We have to

receive those by way of separate request. Technicians reports frequently are also not included. We typically have to make a separate request for that item as well.

THE COURT: What do you call them?

MR. GUERRIERI: SRR, Subject Resistance Report.

THE COURT: We used to call them something different in my day, but that's ancient.

Why aren't they included? Any idea?

MS. CATALANO: I don't know. I don't know if

it's because it might have an impact on some sort of internal processes for the police department. I truly do not know why they're not included.

THE COURT: Obviously come the first of the vear that's going to have to change.

MS. CATALANO: Correct.

MR. GUERRIERI: In speaking with the grand jury referral sheet, it does state there was a statement by the defendant. I know one was not noticed in the 710.30, but it also states there was a statement by the defendant, and it says, yes, and the box is checked. It will be number 14 along with, apparently, a misdemeanor card on the grand jury referral would be excluding the cover sheet letter, page two of discovery.

MS. CATALANO: Judge, Miranda card was provided to Mr. Guerrieri as referenced in my cover letter. There is no recorded statement by the defendant as indicated on the Miranda card on its face. He states he did not wish to talk. He just wanted to go downtown.

THE COURT: Mr. Guerrieri, on November 4th when you argued your motions you moved to preclude any statements, admissions or confessions of the defendant made to any law enforcement official, and I granted that request.

MR. GUERRIERI: My only concern if there was something out there, Judge, and if my client were to take the stand you would still --

THE COURT: It's precluded right now, period.

MR. GUERRIERI: Even for impeachment
purposes?

THE COURT: Yes.

Are we ready?

MS. CATALANO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Call your witness.

MS. CATALANO: People call Officer Michael Mortillaro.

THE DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand and face the clerk to be sworn.

* * * *

MICHAEL MORTILLARO, called herein as a witness, being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Can you please state your name and spell it.

THE WITNESS: Michael Mortillaro,

M-O-R-T-I-L-L-A-R-O.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. CATALANO:

- Q. Good morning, Officer.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. Can you please tell the Court how you are currently employed?
- A. As a police officer with the Rochester Police Department.
 - Q. How long have you been with RPD?
 - A. Three years.
 - Q. What is your current assignment?
 - A. Clinton Section, third platoon.
 - Q. Can you tell us what third platoon hours are?
 - A. Three p.m. to 11:15 p.m.
- Q. During the course of your career have you received any training in the investigation of weapons related offenses?

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

T

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Yes, classes in the academy.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. During the course of your career prior to July 24th of the year 2019 have you had occasion to make arrests for weapons related offenses?
 - A. Yes, multiple occasions.
- Q. Approximately how many times have you made arrests for weapons related offenses during the course of your career?
 - A. Fifty to 60.
- Q. During the course of your career, have you also received training in the investigation of narcotic related offenses?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Was that in the academy?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. During the course of your career prior to July 24th of the year 2019 have you also had occasion to make arrests for narcotics related offenses?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Has that included arrests of individuals for possession of marijuana?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Approximately how many arrests of that nature have you made prior to that date of July 24th of this past year?

- A. Hundreds.
- Q. Going to direct your attention specifically now to July 24th of the year 2019 at about 12:23 p.m. -- excuse me, 6:23 p.m. on that date. Were you working?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Were you working with another officer on that date?
 - A. Yes, Officer Lindauer.
 - Q. Were the two of you riding together or separate?
 - A. In the same patrol car.
- Q. Did there come a time you and Officer Lindauer arrived in the area of Weyl Street in the City of Rochester, County of Monroe and State of New York?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall why you and Officer Lindauer were patrolling in that particular area on that date and time?
- A. That particular day, that was actually our car beat. It's an area that is known for violence and drug sales.
- Q. During the course of your career, have you had occasion to respond to the area of 231 Weyl Street prior to that date?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What type of jobs have you responded to that location for on previous dates?

8

9

12

11

14

13

15

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. There had been shootings, also other gun arrests and narcotics arrests in that same exact area.

- During the course of your career, based on the training and experience you have described for us, have you become familiar with some common characteristics of what is called an open air drug market?
 - Yes. A.
- Can you describe for us what some of those Q. common characteristics are?
- Generally it will be people hanging out in a A. particular area for hours at a time selling drugs.
- Are they selling to people who are walking up on the streets?
- Yes; walk ups, cars, however they get there, Α. bikes.
- When you say selling drugs, is there a particular substance that is typically sold in an open air drug market or vary?
 - Vary depending on the area.
- Directing your attention to July 24th of 2019, have you -- when you and Officer Lindauer first arrived in the area of 231 Weyl Street, did you have your lights or sirens activated on your vehicle?
 - No, we did not. A.
 - While you were coming into that area, did there Q.

24

25

come a time you made some observations regarding individuals on the street?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe for us specifically what you observed?
- A. In the area of 231 Weyl Street we observed a group of five to six males sitting in lawn chairs on the front yard and on the sidewalk area.
- Q. Based upon your training and experience, what, if anything, was significant to you about that observation?
- A. Due to the area and our familiarity with that area, we believed there to be some sort of drug sales going on at that time.
- Q. You indicated yourself and Officer Lindauer were riding together?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Did there come a time you stopped your vehicle?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you recall about how far away from this group of males you were when you parked your vehicle?
 - A. Ten to 15 feet.
- Q. Did there come a time you and Officer Lindauer exited your vehicle?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you ultimately approach this group of males?

계

- A. Yes. We walked up to the individuals sitting in the chairs.
- Q. As you were approaching the group, did you make any further observations?
- A. Yes. As I approached the group of males approximately five feet away while speaking with one of the individuals I smelled the odor of what I have known to be as fresh marijuana.
- Q. During the course of your career prior to this date you indicated you have had occasion to be involved in arrests for marijuana related offenses?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. In the course of making those types of arrests, have you had occasion to smell the odor of fresh marijuana?
- A. Yes, in training and with experience on the road.
- Q. About how many times during the course of your career have you had occasion to make that particular observation of the distinctive odor of fresh marijuana?
 - A. Thousands.
- Q. On this particular date and time was the odor that you were observing as you were interacting with this group consistent with what you know to be the odor of fresh marijuana?
 - A. Yes.

24

- Q. Officer, you indicated I believe there was five to six males?
 - A. Yes, approximately.
 - Q. Were they all seated at that time?
- A. Yes, correct. They were all seated in lawn chairs or camping chairs.
- Q. Do you recall about how far away from the males you first were when you first began noticing that odor of fresh marijuana?
 - A. Five feet.
- Q. Did there come a time you got closer than five feet to the males?
- A. No. Based on the size of the group, to be able to see everybody, I stayed right around that area.
 - Q. Did you and Officer Lindauer engage the group?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe what you did to engage the group?
- A. I spoke with one of the males sitting in the chair sitting directly in front of me. I asked them if they lived at the location they were sitting. They stated they did not. They pointed to a different address which ended up being 239 Weyl Street which we knew was a vacant structure.
- Q. On this time -- on this date did you know that to be a vacant house?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	-
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

- A. Yes, familiarity with the area.
- Q. That's based on responding to that area on --
- A. Vice calls, drug related calls.
- Q. Do you recall approximately when the last time you had responded to that area for a vice call was prior to this date?
- A. I don't remember the exact date, but we had been in the area.
- Q. After that individual indicated to you these people were associated with what you knew to be a vacant house, did you make any further observations regarding anyone in the group?
- A. Yes. I observed Officer Lindauer dealing with a male who had stood up out of his chair.
- Q. Do you see the individual who stood up out of his chair Lindauer was dealing with in the courtroom today?
- A. Yes, sitting with a white black jacket. (Pointing.)

MS. CATALANO: I ask the record to reflect the witness has identified Mr. Hawkins.

THE COURT: So ordered.

- Q. You indicated you observed Officer Lindauer speaking with or dealing with Mr. Hawkins?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And did you then make any further observations

10

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

with respect to Mr. Hawkins at that time?

- A. Yes. Once Officer Lindauer addressed Mr. Hawkins, he began to flee from Officer Lindauer.
- Q. How long in total was Officer Lindauer speaking with Mr. Hawkins before Mr. Hawkins began to flee?
 - A. Twenty to 30 seconds.
- Q. When Mr. Hawkins began to flee, did you and Officer Lindauer ultimately attempt to detain Mr. Hawkins?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were you ultimately able to do so?
 - A. Yes. He was eventually taken into custody.
- Q. About how long in total was it from his initial flight until yourself and your fellow officer were able to detain Mr. Hawkins?
 - A. Three to four minutes.
- Q. Once Mr. Hawkins was in custody or as he was coming into you custody, did yourself or your fellow officers ultimately recover a handgun?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You indicated, Officer, when you were dealing with this group, you smelled the odor of fresh marijuana as you were speaking with the individuals?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did there come a time that marijuana was, in fact, recovered from the area on Weyl Street that you have

3

6

7

8

9

been describing for us?

- Yes. There was a quantity of marijuana located stashed in the bushes directly behind where the males were sitting and also on Mr. Hawkins' person.
- Were other officers ultimately tasked with Q. collecting those items of property?
 - Α. Yes.
- On this particular date, July 24th of the year Q. 2019, were you wearing a body-worn camera?
 - Yes, I was. A.
- Was it recording during the course of the events Q. that you described for us?
 - No, it was not. A.
 - Can you tell us why it was not recording? Q.
- The software on our body camera, while taking it A. out of the dock which is we tag the videos, when removing that camera from the dock, it powers off the camera. affixing the camera to my uniform, I press the record button not realizing the camera was powered off.
- Officer, did you affix the camera to your Q. uniform when you were exiting your vehicle on Weyl Street?
- Correct, with intentions of recording the Α. incident.
- Prior to that, it had been in the dock in the Q. vehicle?

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

24

25

- A. Correct.
- Q. You indicated you pushed the record button, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. But it was not powered on?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That's a function of it automatically powering down?
- A. Yes. Once we remove it from the dock, we actually tag the videos with the CR number and the location.

 The software on the camera automatically powers down the camera.

THE COURT: Did Lindauer's camera work?

MS. CATALANO: Are you asking me?

THE COURT: I will ask either one of you.

MS. CATALANO: I can tell the Court that there is no body-worn camera from Officer Lindauer of the incident.

THE COURT: We seem to be developing a pattern. Go ahead.

- Q. Officer, during the interaction with the males that you have described, can you tell us approximately how long in total it was from the time you engaged the males until Mr. Hawkins was ultimately taken into custody?
 - A. Probably a minute longer than his interaction,

four to five minutes total. At that time it was just yourself and Officer Lindauer at the location? A. Correct, from start to finish of the taking into 5 custody. Sorry. Q. And then other officers responded? A. Yes. 8 You indicated that you had parked initially 15 Q. 9 feet away from the group of males, 10 to 15 feet? 10 A. Yes. I parked my patrol vehicle actually on the 11 south side of the street pretty much directly in front of 12 them. 13 Q. You indicated you did not observe the odor of 14 marijuana until coming within five feet of the group? 15 A. Correct, not until we were very close. 16 Q. At that time were there other individuals out on the street? 17 18 Α. Not that we saw, no. 19 MS. CATALANO: I have no further questions at 20 this time. 21 THE COURT: Counsel. 22 CROSS EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. GUERRIERI: 24 Good morning, Officer Mortillaro. 0.

25

A.

Good morning.

1	Q. Direct your attention to July 24th, 2019
2	approximately July 24th, 2019 at approximately 6:23. You
3	stated you were riding along in a marked patrol vehicle with
4	Officer Lindauer, correct?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. Weyl Street, two ends of it, right?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. At the end of this block from what, Brooks?
9	A. Baumann to the west and Joseph to the east.
10	Q. Which direction were you traveling from?
11	A. We were traveling westbound, so, from Joseph.
12	Q. So, you are coming from, effectively, Joseph and
13	heading towards the location at 231 Weyl?
14	A. I'm sorry, Hudson. Hudson. I'm sorry.
15	Q. You are traveling? You didn't post up at the
16	corner and watch anything, did you?
17	A. No, we did not.
18	Q. You are driving down Weyl Street, and you see
19	five individuals sitting in lawn chairs, correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. You never saw any hand-to-hand sales, correct?
22	A. No, we did not.
23	Q. You did not see any other individuals approach
24	them?

Not at that time, no.

25

A.

1	Q.	You didn't receive a dispatch from people
2	selling dru	ngs, did you?
3	A.	No, we did not.
4	Q.	You didn't receive a dispatch of people being
5	disorderly,	did you?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	In essence, five guys sitting in lawn chairs in
8	the yard, r	right?
9	A.	Correct.
10	Q.	You decide well, who was driving the patrol
11	vehicle?	
12	Α.	I was.
13	٥.	Upon driving the marked patrol vehicle, you
14	decide you'	re going to stop?
15	A.	Correct. We know that house. The owner of that
16	house is Mr	. Battle, and we knew he lived at that location.
17	Q.	Mr. Battle didn't call you there, right?
18	A.	He did call eventually, yes.
19	Q.	When did he call?
20	Α.	During the altercation.
21	Q.	That's when he called because there was an
22	altercation	outside the house?
23	Α.	Correct.
24	Q.	He didn't call you because people were dealing

drugs in his front yard, right?

					MORTI
	1		A.	No).
	2		Q.	Yo	ои арр
	2 3	the	y're	simply	sitt
	4		A.	Co	rrect
	5		Q.	Yo	u did
(5		A.	No	, we
7	/		Q.	Yo	u did
8	3	you?	?		
9			A.	No	•
10			Q.	You	u did
11		you?			
12			A.	No.	
13			Q.	No	one s
14			A.	The	ey dic
15			Q.	You	ı simp
16		Lind	auer	then a	pproa
17			A.	He	appro
18		yes,	init	ially.	
19			Q.	You	walk
20		right	:?		
21			Α.	Cor	rect.
22			Q.	And	Offic
23		males	?		
24			A.	To a	addres

- proached these five individuals and ing there, correct?

 - in't see them sell any marijuana, right?
- did not.
- n't see any marijuana in plain view, did
- n't see anybody smoking marijuana, did
 - swore at you or did anything of the sort?
 - d not, no.
- oly walked up and you stated Officer ched Mr. Hawkins, right?
- ached the rear of the group of males,
- ed in front of the group of males,
- cer Lindauer walked behind the group of
- ss the males in the back, he was to the side of them.

- 1	1	
1	Q.	You
2	found, corr	ect?
3	Α.	Corr
4	Q.	And
5	generally s	peaki
6	bushes?	
7	Α.	Corr
8	behind wher	e the
9	Q.	In t
o	towards, I	guess
1	the sidewal	k, ri
2	A.	Corr
3	Q.	And
4	incident, c	orrec
5	A.	Corr
6	Q.	And :
7	bushes?	
3	Α.	Some
	Hawkins was	wear
	Q.	That
4	was placed u	ınder
	Α.	Corre
1	Q.	In es
Ш	with my clie	ent. a

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- stated a quantity of marijuana was actually
 - ect.
- the quantity of marijuana was found, ng, at the scene but you said by some
- There was a row of bushes directly y were sitting.
- he report it states they were sitting more , the front of the yard, and, I guess, by ght?
 - ect.
- the marijuana was only found after the t?
 - ect.
- it was searched for and found hidden in
- in the bushes and some in the satchel Mr. ing as well.
- was when you searched the satchel after he arrest?
 - ect.
- ssence, Officer Lindauer was interacting and my client walked away from him?
 - That is incorrect. A.

- Q. He was talking with him, right? What did my client do?
 - A. He began to run.
- Q. So, he runs away. He hadn't committed a crime, right?
 - A. Not at that time.
- Q. So, in essence, you guys chase after him without him having committed a crime, right?

MS. CATALANO: Objection.

MR. GUERRIERI: I think it goes to the heart of the matter.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule. I want to hear the answer. Go ahead.

- A. We were investigating the odor of marijuana being five, six males. We don't know which male had marijuana on them.
- Q. You never posted up the street to see whether or not someone was dealing drugs there?
- A. Correct. Because when you do that, a lot of times dealers will have lookouts and tip people off, and they will leave the area before we can get to them.
- Q. You stated you don't know what individual, if anyone, had marijuana, correct?
 - A. Correct. That was part of our investigation.
 - Q. At that point, Mr. Hawkins hadn't committed a

crime, right?

1

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

No, he did not. A.

MS. CATALANO: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. Let him answer.

What was the answer?

THE WITNESS: No, he did not commit a crime at that time.

- You stated that when you pulled your body-worn camera off I guess the docking station, it turned itself off?
 - Correct. A.
- Q. What time -- you said you work third platoon at the time?
 - Yes. A.
 - What are the hours of the third platoon? Q.
 - Α. Three p.m. to 11:15 p.m.
 - Q. Three p.m. to 11:15 p.m.?
 - A. Yes.
- On July 24, 2019, this all occurred at 6:23, Q. correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Your body-worn camera wasn't on your entire shift from three p.m. until up after 6:23 p.m. when this incident occurs?
 - A. That's incorrect.

25

- Q. Where was your body-worn camera when you were on your shift?
- A. The body-worn camera is affixed to my uniform while on my shift. And to tag the videos, we had software in our cars on the computers which we can do during our shift as opposed to waiting for all the videos to pile up at the end of the shift making it a little bit easier.
- Q. In this particular instance your body camera was simply off?
- A. It was off due to the software of the program turning it off, yes.
- Q. You didn't bother double checking to make sure it was on when you put it back on your person?
 - A. I did not, no.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. GUERRIERI: I just ask we leave cross examination open.

THE COURT: We understand. Anything else?

MS. CATALANO: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anybody else?

MS. CATALANO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: You can get off the stand, but don't leave the room, please.

(WHEREUPON THE WITNESS WAS EXCUSED FROM THE WITNESS STAND BUT REMAINED IN THE COURTROOM.)

THE COURT: People rest?

MS. CATALANO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: We are here for a probable cause hearing to determine if there was probable cause for Mr. Hawkins to be arrested. Now, this is a difficult situation because I have read the grand jury minutes, and I understand there was an altercation with police that involved Mr. Hawkins allegedly attempting to grab a gun.

Now, Mr. Hawkins, you don't know this, but I'm an ex-police officer. If those allegations are true, they are very, very, very serious. But, here is my problem. I do a lot of gun cases. I'm starting to see a pattern that I find deplorable. Every time I turn around now, oh, it didn't work, I don't know what happened, my camera didn't work, it didn't work, it didn't work.

In this case, there are two officers involved in this case that are integral to determining what the probable cause was, and, low and behold, both of them can't provide body-worn camera. I have had it. That's enough. This is an extremely serious case, but I don't have any body-worn camera from both people who were apprehending the defendant.

My ruling is this. There was no probable

cause. Your case is dismissed unless the People want to do something about it in the future.

MS. CATALANO: May I be heard?

THE COURT: You can do whatever you want, but

I'm telling you, it's not going to change my mind.

This is absolute wrong. This is like the fourth time

in the last month I have heard this line. Come on.

Who are you kidding? This is not my first cruise. You

can say whatever you want. I'm not changing my mind.

I got to be honest with you. I'm mad as hell about

this.

MS. CATALANO: Judge, respectfully, I certainly understand the Court's frustration. I think we all share in that frustration because it makes it more difficult to prosecute and defend a case without having a complete picture.

with that being said, in this particular case, the officer has given testimony before the Court as to the events that occurred on that day. Based upon his testimony before the Court, I would respectfully submit there is no reason to doubt the credibility or voracity of his testimony. There is footage from after Mr. Hawkins was taken into custody. Of course that's not relevant for the purposes of this hearing which is why the People are not submitting it. The purpose of

the hearing, as everyone is aware, is the stop of the defendant which led to the recovery of the handgun which is the subject of suppression. I cannot put into evidence something which does not exist, of course. So I simply can only rely upon the testimony of the officer and officers who were present on that date.

If the Court is finding that the officer's testimony is not credible --

THE COURT: You're trying to be a little cute here, but that's okay. What I am finding is this, so I will do that. You want to push it on me, here is the findings of fact and conclusions of law.

My finding of fact is this. I can't today take his truthful and accurate Officer Mortillaro's testimony because I have seen a pattern of behavior that is coming from the Rochester Police Department that indicates that there is some kind of situation going on involving the body-worn cameras. In the last couple of cases we have had a consistent problem in cases involving apprehensions where all of a sudden the body-worn camera doesn't work, and it's happening all the time. As a result of that pattern of behavior, I'm finding that I can't find truthful and accurate Officer Mortillaro's statements and testimony here today.

Now, remember, this is not an easy task for

3

8

9

Of all people in this building it's insanely hard for me to say that. I'm an ex-police officer. I'm married to a retired cop. I don't want to do this, but I have had enough of it. I'm not changing my mind.

I cannot believe, based on these facts and circumstances, that there is justification for probable Therefore, I'm ruling there was no probable cause. cause.

If you want to move to dismiss, go ahead. MS. CATALANO: Respectfully, I believe I have an opportunity --

THE COURT: You certainly do. You want to do that?

MS. CATALANO: I need to explore that option. With all due respect, I would again ask the Court to consider allowing me to call Officer Lindauer to corroborate --

THE COURT: Who is going to come in here and say his body-worn camera didn't work either, right?

MS. CATALANO: Unfortunately, yes. But of course as --

THE COURT: How many times has that happened with Officer Lindauer?

I have MS. CATALANO: I can't speak to that. done a number of cases with Officer Lindauer. On my

personal cases I have not had that issue with him. I can't speak to other cases with other DAs.

THE COURT: What is it you want from me? The hearing is closed. I have made my ruling. What do you want? You want a 30-day adjournment?

MS. CATALANO: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Granted.

Give me a date.

MS. DURBIN: December 23rd, 9:30, for status.

MR. GUERRIERI: I will be out of town.

MS. DURBIN: December 20th at 9:00 a.m.

December 19th at 9:00 a.m.

THE COURT: December 19th. Stand adjourned.

Certified to be a true and accurate transcript.

JUDITH A. WARNER, C.S.R.