I. GENERAL INFORMATION			
Project Title	Rochester Police Department (RPD) BWC (Body Worn Camera) Project		
Brief Project	Develop and implement a Body Worn Camera program to include		
Description	technology/system identification, policy development and selection.		
Prepared By	Deputy Chief Wayne Harris, RPD		
Date	May 11, 2015		

#### II. BACKGROUND

Recent events, both locally and nationally, have shown an increasing trend toward police activities being video and audio recorded by citizens of our communities. These recordings are often the basis of citizen complaints for infractions such as discourtesy or excessive force. Smartphone technology is the usual method of recording. As such, these recordings often do not capture an entire event, they are easily uploaded to social media, and they create an inaccurate depiction of a police encounter that is immediately available for public review. In an effort to provide a more accurate record of police encounters, foster the improvement of police-community relations, establish transparency, and improve the quality of evidence brought into criminal prosecutions, many law enforcement agencies across the country have begun to outfit their uniformed officers with body worn cameras. In accordance with this trend, the City of Rochester Police Department has undertaken a Body Worn Camera project.

Due to the social sensitivities of this project and the financial burden that the city will come to bear, this project cannot be successful without extensive consideration being given to technology, policies, legal issues, and fiscal support.

This project will be multi-faceted in that it will involve the identification of camera technology, as well as the identification of a comprehensive data management system, and it will direct the development of policy to govern the program which will include input from project stakeholders (such as community groups, clergy organizations, and outside agencies with whom the RPD regularly interacts).

#### III. PROJECT OBJECTIVES:

The objective of the Body Worn Camera project is to develop and implement a system that will provide audio and video technologies to be worn by uniformed road personnel. An additional objective is the development of a digital data management system.

The primary benefits sought from a BWC program include more accurate reporting of police/public interactive incidents, transparency and the continuing efforts toward furthering police-community relations.

#### Specific Goals:

- 1. Deploy BWC technology to all members of RPD who regularly interact with the public in an enforcement capacity.
- 2. Improve the high quality public service expected of RPD officers, and promote the perceived legitimacy and sense of fairness and procedural justice.
- 3. Improve management of DME, to ensure identification, retention, accessibility of DME that is relevant to RPD arrests and investigations, and the conduct of RPD officers.
- 4. Incorporate the BWC program into RPD's policy and training development process.

#### III. PROJECT OBJECTIVES:

- 5. Develop and implement strong policies to ensure proper and credible use of BWCs.
- Establish a long-term BWC program that remains technologically current, and financially sustainable.
- 7. Inform national efforts to improve the use of BWCs more broadly..

## IV. PROJECT SCOPE:

The scope of this project is to develop and implement a Body Worn Camera program. This will include:

- 1. Stakeholders will be identified (internal and external) and their input will be solicited in support of project objectives and to enhance transparency.
- 2. Identifying and contracting with an appropriate vendor for the purchase of the camera technology, and Data Management System.
- 3. Researching, developing and negotiating a BWC policy that addresses legal, privacy and labor issues. Policy research will include the creation of a Policy Development Team to ensure all levels of operational need and project objectives are appropriately addressed.
- 4. To promote transparency stakeholder input will be solicited throughout the project and stakeholders will be regularly updated on project status.
- 5. Successfully implementing our program, beginning with a roll out of one third of the total number of cameras we intend to deploy which will facilitate the establishment of a structured replacement program to reflect the expected three year turnover of camera technology.
- 6. The BWC Project will include a detailed independent evaluation by The Center for Public Safety Initiatives (CPSI) at Rochester Institute of Technology. CPSI, under the direction of Dr. John Klofas, has a proven history on the Federal, State, and Local levels regarding the analysis of policy and data within the criminal justice system. RPD will partner with CPSI with the collection of performance data and will provide equipment and policy feedback as appropriate. This process will begin after Phase I implementation and will continue through the completion of the program.

### V. ASSUMPTIONS:

City Administration has determined that the Rochester Police Department will deploy Body Worn Cameras on uniformed officers. A BWC program will foster better community relations through accountability and transparency. Additionally, a BWC program will contribute to better public understanding of police policies and practices, and it is expected to be a factor in the decrease of the number of citizen complaints.

### VI. CONSTRAINTS:

- 1. There are budgetary implications that must be considered while developing a Body Worn Camera program. Specifically, depending on the Data Management System selected for this program, our budget will be impacted by way of the initial capital expenditure and annual operating cost. The detailed project planning phase will include cost estimates for both, and we will work closely with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) throughout the project to pursue the most cost-effective plan feasible. See Section X, below, *Project Risks*.
- 2. The collective bargaining agreement between the Rochester Police Department and the Rochester Police Locust Club (labor union) requires cooperation between the parties when changes to the terms and conditions of employment for members may occur. As such, there is the possibility that discussions may be required with the police union regarding the BWC Program prior to

#### VI. CONSTRAINTS:

implementation. This potentially may impact the implementation schedule and/or project cost.

## VII. PROJECT METHODOLOGY:

The RPD BWC Project will be managed based on the global standard in project management principles as defined by the Project Management Institute's (PMI) PMBOK® (Project Management Book of Knowledge).

Phase	Deliverables			
1. Project Initiation	Establish Executive Management Team			
1. 1 Toject initiation	Research BWC technology			
	Develop Project Charter			
	1.60			
2 Duoingt Dlamping	Identify Key Stakeholders  Project Plane			
2. Project Planning	Project Plan:			
	• Risk Plan			
	Budget (Capital & Operating Cost)			
	Form Policy Development Team			
	Implementation Schedule			
	Stakeholders Communication Plan			
	Detailed Roles and Responsibilities of all project participants			
	Project Governance			
	Project Change Process			
	Quality Plan			
3. Project Execution	Delivery of training			
	Deployment of Cameras			
	Implementation of Policy			
	Implementation of data collection plan for independent project evaluation			
	Implementation of Data Management System			
4. Project	Updating documentation and conducting proper follow up to ensure project			
Monitoring and	success.			
Control	Continue to collect data necessary for independent project evaluation			
5. Project Closeout	Closeout Report			

# VIII. Project Milestones:

The timeline identifies several deliverables intended to address key components identified by the COPS and PERF report "Implementing a Body-Worn Camera Program,"

Milestones		Deliverables	Responsibility	Date
1. Project Initiation	1. Deve	lop Project Charter	Wayne Harris,	May, 2015
	2. Resea	arch BWC technology	DCA	
	3. Ident	ify Key Stakeholders		
2. Project Planning	1 Deve	lop BWC Project Plan which	Project Core	June, 2015
	will i	nclude the following:	Team (includes	
	2 Solic	itation of Stakeholder Input	representatives	
	3 Risk	Assessments	from RPD & IT;	
	4 RFP	& Selection of	Wayne Harris,	

Milestones	Deliverables	Responsibility	Date
	Vendor/Consultant  Council Approval of Vendor/Consultant Contract  Capital Cost  Operating Cost  Implementation Schedule  Present Recommended Plan for Mayor's Approval  Stakeholders Communication Plan  Detailed Roles and Responsibilities of all project participants  Project Governance	Kevin Costello, Tony Sutera, Mark Dibelka, John Mustico, and Nick Petitti)	
3. Policy Development	<ol> <li>Form Policy Development Team</li> <li>Solicit Stakeholder Input: Policy, FOIA, and Privacy.</li> </ol>	RPD R&E (John Mustico)	December, 2015
4. Project Funding	Approval of funding from City     Council	Wayne Harris/Tony Sutera/Director of Office Management Budget	June, 2015
5. Technology Solution Evaluation and Selection	<ol> <li>Prepare and Publish RFP</li> <li>Complete Selection Grid based on Proposals</li> <li>Complete Selection Grid based on vendor scripted demonstrations</li> <li>Evaluation of cameras based on field testing</li> <li>Vendor Selection</li> </ol>	Project Core Team (Harris, Costello, Sutera, Dibelka, Mustico, Petitti and Subject Matter Experts from RPD & IT	December, 2015
6. Submission to City Council	1 Submit to council for approval to enter into a contract with the selected vendor	Wayne Harris	January, 2016
7. Contract Negotiations	1 Execution of contract	Wayne Harris, Tony Sutera, and Law Department	January, 2016
8. Phase I Implementation	<ol> <li>BWC Deployment and training</li> <li>Management System         Implementation     </li> <li>Begin CPSI evaluation</li> </ol>	Kevin Costello/Tony Sutera	May, 2016
9. Phase II Implementation	<ul><li>Deployment of Cameras</li><li>Continue CPSI evaluation</li></ul>	Kevin Costello/Tony Sutera	May, 2017
10. Phase III Implementation	<ul><li>1 Deployment of Cameras</li><li>2 Continue CPSI evaluation</li></ul>	Kevin Costello/Tony Sutera	May, 2018

#### IX. **Project Structure:**

Project Governance – Executive Management Team			
Assigned	Role		
ayor Lovely Warren	Ultimate approval authority for project de		

Project Title	Assigned	Role
<b>Executive Sponsor</b>	Mayor Lovely Warren	Ultimate approval authority for project design
Daccutive Sponsor	wayor bovery warren	and implementation, and responsible to secure
		required funding and resources.
Project Sponsor	Michael Ciminelli, Chief	Program oversight and control to ensure
1 Toject Sponsor	Wayne Harris, DCA	successful completion of the program.
<b>Project Steering</b>	Len Redon, Deputy Mayor	Responsible to Executive Sponsor for project
Committee	Chris Wagner, OMB Director	oversight and control; vocal and visible project
Committee	Allen Williams, Director of	champion; approval of project deliverables;
	Special Projects	policy decisions; issue resolution; approval of
	Lisa Bobo, CIO	scope changes, direction and guidance to the
	Michael Ciminelli, RPD Chief	project.
	James Smith, City	projecti
	Communications Director	
	Project Core	Team
Project Sponsor	Wayne Harris, DCA	Program oversight and control to ensure
• <b>J</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		successful completion of the program.
<b>Project Managers</b>	Kevin Costello, Captain, RPD	Responsible for ensuring that the Project Team
g	Tony Sutera, IT	completes the project. The Project Manager
		will report to the Steering Committee. The
		Project Manager develops the Project Plan with
		the Team, and manages the Team's
		performance of project tasks. The Project
		Manager is responsible for communication,
		including status reporting, risk management,
		obtaining necessary funding and resources
		through the Steering Committee, escalation of
		issues that cannot be resolved in the team, and,
		in general, making sure the project is delivered
		in budget, on schedule, and within scope.
Project	Lt. Mark Dibelka	Administrative assistance to Project Manager;
Coordinator	(Lt. Dibelka will hold this	will report to Project Manager for purposes of
	position until December of 2015	this project. Also responsible for internal RPD
	when the position will be filled	communications on the project. Maintain
	by <b>Lt. Mike Perkowski</b> )	project schedule, action item and issue
		registers.
Subject Matter	John Mustico, Sergeant, RPD	Responsible for supporting the policy
Expert (SME)		initiatives of this project.
	Nick Petitti, Director of	Responsible for coordinating data collection
	Business Intelligence, RPD	with CPSI
	Support Te	eams
Communications	Allen Williams, Director of	Responsible to coordinate external
	Special Projects	communications and community outreach for
İ	James Smith, Director of	project.

<b>Project Title</b>	Assigned	Role
	Communications	
	Inv. Jackie Shuman, RPD PIO	
Legal	<b>TBD</b> —Request Law Dept. to	Provide legal guidance and support to
	assign attorney	Executive Management Team and Police
		Development Team.
Labor	Mike Oliveri	Provide labor related guidance and support to
		Executive Management Team as needed.
Vendor Selection	Core Project Team: See above	Issue RFP for vendor support as needed, and
		selection of vendor(s).
Community	Chair- TBD	Ensure effective communication with
Liaison		community stakeholders. Other roles and
		responsibilities TBD
IT	Chair- Tony Sutera	Provide subject matter expertise and
	Other IT- TBD	recommendations on IT-related matters.
	R&E- Joe Silva	
Budget	<u>Co-Chairs</u>	Provide subject matter expertise and
	Nancy Alberto, RPD	recommendations on budget matters for
	Joe Mustico, OMB	options, including budget and cost estimates,
		and overall budget impact. Also, provide
		budget support throughout all phases of the
		project.
Labor	Chair – Wayne Harris	Provide subject matter expertise and
	Mike Oliveri, Labor	recommendations on labor matters and
	Kevin Costello	implications. Negotiations with unions(s) as
D II		required to institute a BWC program.
Policy	Chair—Sgt. John Mustico	Determine and implement necessary changes to
	Membership TBD	RPD policies and procedures, e.g., General
T. 1 4	Cl. N. I. D. 444	Orders, Administrative Orders, etc.
Evaluation	Chair—Nick Petitti	Coordination with Independent Evaluator to
(D) • •		ensure proper data collection and delivery.
Training	Chair—Lt. David Gebhardt	Determine and coordinate needed internal RPD
	Membership TBD	training to implement a BWC program.

IX. PROJECT RESOURCES:

To be identified during project planning

#### PROJECT RISKS X.

Risks	Mitigation Strategy
Potential labor issues.	Work closely City labor officials to identify potential labor issues as the plan is developed, and negotiate
	mutually acceptable resolutions with the union as
	needed.
BWC technology maintenance- For general	The RFP will mitigate this concern as the selected
maintenance of the BWC technology and	vendor will have to meet our repair and maintenance
eventual repair needs, a Department liaison	needs

Risks	Mitigation Strategy
will be identified to coordinate with the	
selected vendor.	
Technology compatibility with Rochester's	The RFP will mitigate this concern as the selected
climate- As Rochester's climate offers various	system will have to meet this requirement.
degrees of extreme weather, we will need to	
identify and select a system that can operate	
under any condition.	
FOIL/Legal- The data collected from our	FTE's will involve coordination with OMB and
BWC camera program will likely be subject to	DHRM. This will also require coordination with City
FOIL requests, we will potentially need one or	Communications and the Law Department.
two FTE's for data management and FOIL	
requests.	
Privacy concerns- Digital data will be	Our policy will direct when an officer will activate the
collected during officer interactions with the	recording function of the BWC system, and under what
public. This will result in data being gathered	circumstances an officer will be authorized to
from private resident and during sensitive	deactivate the recording function or not activate at all.
situations such as rape investigations.	
BWC Limitations- A BWC field of view varies	This concern will be mitigated through the RFP process
depending upon the technology selected and	and vendor selection with input from PDS for our
how it is deployed/worn.	system needs.
Due to the likelihood of similar projects being	Vendor availability will be taken into consideration
conducted by law enforcement agencies	during the selection process.
throughout the country, it is possible that the	
availability of potential vendors may be	
limited. As a result potential vendors may be	
unable to meet our timeline requirements.	

#### XI. SUCCESS MEASUREMENTS:

The immediate success measurement of this project will be the deployment of Body Worn Cameras to be worn by RPD uniformed road personnel and overall ability to meet project objectives. The longer term success measures will include enhanced reporting of police and public interaction, transparency and improved police-community relations.

# 

& Budget	
Allen Williams	
Director of Special Projects	
Lisa Bobo	
City IT Director	
James Smith	
City Communications Director	